	Case 3:07-cv-03572-CRB Document 9 Filed 04/25/2008 Page 2 of 3
1	117
1	II.
2	Petitioner filed an appeal of his conviction in the California Court of Appeal, First
3	Appellate District, Division Three, claiming that there was insufficient evidence to sustain the
4	second degree murder conviction. Exh. D (Appellant's Opening Brief), Exh. E (Respondent's
5	Brief), Exh. F (Appellant's Reply Brief).
6	III.
7	In an unpublished decision filed on February 28, 2007, the Court of Appeal affirmed the
8	judgment. Exh. G.
9	IV.
10	Petitioner filed a petition for review in the California Supreme Court. Exh. H. On May
11	9, 2007, the Supreme Court denied review. Exh. I.
12	V.
13	Petitioner has exhausted his state remedies and his petition is timely.
14	VI.
15	Petitioner's conviction was not obtained in violation of any federal constitutional right,
16	and each and every claim in the petition is without merit. Specifically, respondent denies that there
17	was insufficient evidence of malice to sustain petitioner's conviction for second degree murder.
18	VII.
19	Except as specifically admitted herein, respondent denies all material factual averments
20	of the petition.
21	VIII.
22	We submit herewith the relevant record of the state trial and appellate court proceedings
23	as itemized in the accompanying receipt for exhibits.
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	Answer to Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus - <i>Pantoja v. Prosper, Warden</i> - C 07-3572 CRB